Lecture 4

Correction (from last time): ODE

$$v' = g(v), \quad v(0) = 0$$
$$u(t) = v(t) - tv'(0) \implies u'(t) = v'(t) - v'(0)$$

then we have

$$u' = f(u,t) \implies f(0,0) = 0$$

Theorem 1 (Cauchy-Kovaleskay Theorem in \mathbb{R}). Consider

$$\partial_n u_i = f_i(x, u, \partial_1 u, \dots \partial_{n-1} u) \qquad i = 1, 2..., m$$

with initial data

$$u_i(\check{x},0) = \psi_i(\check{x}), \ \check{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

 f_i, ψ_i are analytical at the origin. Then there exists a unique solution u that is analytic at the origin.

$$\exists ! f \in G^1_{M,r}(0)$$

proof. WLOG assume $\psi_i = 0$. $[f_i(0) = 0]$.

$$u(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \implies \partial^{\alpha} u(0) = \alpha! a_{\alpha}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^{\alpha} u(0) &= 0 \text{ if } \alpha_{n} = 0, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+(n-1m)} \text{ where } z \text{ argument of } f \\ \partial_{l} \partial_{n} u_{i} &= \partial_{x_{l}} f_{i}(z) + \partial_{u_{k}} f_{i}(z) \partial_{l} u_{k} + \partial_{\partial_{j} u_{k}} f_{i}(z) \partial_{l} \partial_{j} u_{k} \\ \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{n} u_{i} &= q_{\alpha} (\partial_{z}^{\beta} f_{i}(z), \partial^{\gamma} u_{k}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} |\beta| &\leq |\alpha|, \ k = 1...m \quad |\gamma| \leq |\alpha| + 1 \quad \gamma_n \leq \alpha_n, \\ \partial^{\alpha} \partial_n u_i(0) &= q_{\alpha} (\partial_z^{\beta} f_i(0), \partial^{\gamma} u_k(0)) \\ &= Q_{\alpha} (\partial_z^{\beta} f_k(0)) \quad positive coeff. \end{split}$$

Uniqueness comes from a_{α} derived from derivatives of u from the series. Now for existance: $f_i \ll F_i$ Consider $\partial_n U_i = F_i(x, U, \partial_1 U, ... \partial_{n-1} U), \quad U_i(\check{x}, 0) = 0$

$$|\partial^{\alpha}\partial_{n}u_{i}(0)| = |Q_{\alpha}(\partial_{z}^{\beta}f_{k}(0))| \le Q_{\alpha}(|\partial_{z}^{\beta}f_{k}(0)|) \le Q_{\alpha}(\partial_{z}^{\beta}F_{k}(0)) = \partial^{\alpha}\partial_{n}U_{i}(0).$$

 $\implies u_i \ll U_i \ at \ 0.$ We need to find a "nice" F_i Try:

$$\partial_n U_i = \frac{Mr}{r - \sum x_i - \sum u_k - \sum \partial_j u_k}$$

 $u_1 = \ldots = u_m = U$ $\partial_l U = \partial_\sigma U$ where $\sum x_i = \sigma$, $\sum u_k = mU$, $\sum \partial_j u_k = (n-1)m\partial_l U$. we have

$$U' = \frac{Mr}{r - \sigma - mU - (n - 1)mU'}$$

Class notes by Ibrahim Al Balushi

consider a simpler form:

$$\frac{Mr}{r-x-y} = \frac{M}{1-\frac{x+y}{r}} = M(1+(x+y)/r + (x+y)^2/r^2 + \dots) = \sum a_{ij}x^i y^j \qquad a_{ij} \ge Mr^{-i-j}$$

consider a better series

$$\frac{M}{(1-x/r)(1-y/r)} = M(1+x/r + (x/r)^2...)(1+y/r + (y/r)^2...) \qquad a_{ij} = Mr^{-i-j}$$

Going back to our problem

$$U' = \frac{M}{(1 - \frac{\sigma + mU}{r})(1 - \frac{m(n-1)u'}{r})}$$
$$U' - \frac{m(n-1)}{r}(u')^2 = \frac{M}{1 - \frac{\sigma + mU}{r}} (constant)$$

by setting LFH= constant we have

$$V - bV^{2} = K \implies V = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4Kb}}{2b}$$
$$1 - \sqrt{1 - 4kb} = 1 - \frac{bK}{2} - \dots k^{2} \dots = c_{1}K + c_{2}K^{2} + \dots (c_{k}) \ge 0.$$
$$U' = G(U, \sigma)$$

			٦	
_	-	-	_	

Theorem 2 (Identity Theorem). $f \in C^{\omega}(\Omega)$. Ω open connected. $c \in \Omega$. $\partial^{\alpha} f(c) = 0 \ \forall \alpha \implies f \equiv 0 \ on \ \Omega$. In part, if f = 0 us a non empty open set of Ω , then $f \equiv 0$.

proof. $\Sigma_{\alpha} = \{x \in \Omega : \partial^{\alpha} f(x) = 0\}$ relatively closed. (the points map to zero which is a point, implies continuous.) pre image of closed set is closed). $\Sigma = \bigcap_{\alpha} \Sigma_{\alpha}$ is rel-closed. But Σ is open, $\Sigma \ni c \implies \Sigma = \Omega$

Back to C-K : $u_i \in C^{\omega}(\Omega)$.

$$v_i = \partial_n u_i \in C^{\omega}(\Omega)$$
$$w_i(x) = f_i(x, u, \partial_1 u, \dots \partial_{n-1} u) \in C^{\omega}(\Omega)$$

We constructed u_i so that $\partial^{\alpha} v_i(0) = \partial^{\alpha} w_i(0) \quad \forall \alpha.$ $\implies v_i \equiv w_i \text{ in } \Omega.$ C-K proved.

Extensions

-Higher Order Systems. - $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ open with $u_i(\check{x}, 0) = \psi_i(\check{x}), \check{x} \in D$